

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

| | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| United States of America |) | |
| v. |) | |
| MARK WAYNE THIBEAU |) | Case No: <u>3:98CR126-02</u> |
| Date of Previous Judgment: <u>12/06/1999</u> |) | USM No: <u>90484-038</u> |
| (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) |) | <u>Tanzania C. Cannon-Eckerle</u> |
| |) | Defendant's Attorney |

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 151 months **is reduced to** time served, plus 10 days.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

| | |
|---|--|
| Previous Offense Level: <u>35</u> | Amended Offense Level: <u>33</u> |
| Criminal History Category: <u>II</u> | Criminal History Category: <u>II</u> |
| Previous Guideline Range: <u>188</u> to <u>235</u> months | Amended Guideline Range: <u>151</u> to <u>188</u> months |

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☒ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

It is further ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/06/1999 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: June 2, 2008

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)


Martin Reidinger
United States District Judge

